



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/822,849	04/13/2004	Takeshi Yoshioka	119436	3204
25944 7590 10/23/2007 OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC P.O. BOX 320850 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320-4850			EXAMINER HIRL, JOSEPH P	
			ART UNIT 2129	PAPER NUMBER
			MAIL DATE 10/23/2007	DELIVERY MODE PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/822,849	YOSHIOKA ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Joseph P. Hirl	2129	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Joseph P. Hirl. (3)_____

(2) James Golladay. (4)_____

Date of Interview: 18 October 2007.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____

Claim(s) discussed: Independent.


Identification of prior art discussed: Brainmaker.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See attached facsimile from applicant. Discussion focused on how the currently written claims can be interpreted to allow Brainmaker to read on them.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.


JOSEPH P. HIRL
PRIMARY EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

277 SOUTH WASHINGTON STREET
ALEXANDRIA, VIRGINIA 22314

TELEPHONE: (703) 836-8400

FACSIMILE: (703) 836-2787

E-MAIL: EMAIL@OLIFF.COM

WWW.OLIFF.COM

October 15, 2007

FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION COVER SHEETTo: Examiner Hirl
Fax: 571 273 3685

From: James Golladay

Your Ref.: 10/822,849

Our Ref.: 119436

Number of Pages Sent (Including cover sheet): 3

Prepared By: JEG

Comments:

Sent By:

This facsimile is intended only for the use of the individual or entity named above and may contain privileged or confidential information. If you are not the intended recipient, or the employee or agent responsible to deliver it to the intended recipient, you are notified that any review, dissemination, distribution or copying of this facsimile is prohibited. If you have received this facsimile in error, please immediately notify us by facsimile or telephone, and return the facsimile to us by mail at the above address.

Applicant Initiated Interview Request Form

Application No.: 10/822,849 First Named Applicant: Takeshi YOSHIOKA
 Examiner: J. HIRL Art Unit: 2129 Status of Application: _____

Tentative Participants:

(1) James Golladay (2) _____
 (3) _____ (4) _____

Proposed Date of Interview: 10/17/07 Proposed Time: 10:00am (AM/PM)

Type of Interview Requested:

(1) ☐ Telephonic (2) ☒ Personal (3) ☐ Video Conference

Exhibit To Be Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ YES ☐ NO

If yes, provide brief description: _____

Issues To Be Discussed

Issues (Rej., Obj., etc)	Claims/ Fig. #s	Prior Art	Discussed	Agreed	Not Agreed
(1) <u>Rej 101</u>	<u>16-20 and 22-26</u>	<u>n/a</u>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(2) <u>Rej 102(b)</u>	<u>5-14, 16- 20 and 22- 26</u>	<u>See below</u>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(3) _____	_____	_____	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(4) _____	_____	_____	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

☐ Continuation Sheet Attached

Brief Description of Arguments to be Presented:

BrainMaker (California Scientific Software, Neural Network Simulation Software User's Guide and Reference).

(1) Claims 22-26 recite statutory methods; (2) BrainMaker does not anticipate e.g. (a) an input unit for inputting information about a period of an experience of acquiring knowledge, information about knowledge acquired from the experience, and information about an individual possessing the knowledge (see claim 5) based merely on the disclosure of an input node; (b) an analysis unit for analyzing the inputted information to determine a propagation state and propagation velocity of knowledge among a plurality of individuals (see e.g. claim 5) based on the presentation of "bad values" as outputs; (c) analyzing or inputting information to identify (i) an activity corresponding to an experience from which knowledge has been acquired and an activity to which the knowledge has been applied; (ii) a knowledge creation process which converts an experience into knowledge and a knowledge creation process which applies knowledge to a new activity; or (iii) a job category where the experience has been gained, and information about a job category to which the knowledge has been applied (see e.g. 7-9, 16-18 and 22-24), based on the allegedly corresponding output; or (d) outputting or displaying various combinations of activities, knowledge creation processes, job categories and periods in two-dimension tables, (see e.g. claims 10-14) based on the allegedly corresponding

representation of error rates.

An interview was conducted on the above-identified application on _____

NOTE:

This form should be completed by applicant and submitted to the examiner in advance of the interview (see MPEP § 713.01).

This application will not be delayed from issue because of applicant's failure to submit a written record of this interview. Therefore, applicant is advised to file a statement of substance of this interview (37 CFR 1.133(b)) as soon as possible.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature)

(Examiner/SPE Signature)